

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

FC 2008-094092

06/15/2012

HONORABLE DAVID M. TALAMANTE

CLERK OF THE COURT
M. Kay
Deputy

IN RE THE MATTER OF
AARON CHAVEZ

AARON CHAVEZ
917 E GRANADA ROAD
APT 3
PHOENIX AZ 85006

AND

STEPHANIE L DELABIO

STEPHANIE L DELABIO
1333 W GUADALUPE RD #725
GILBERT AZ 85233

AG-CHILD SUPPORT-EAST VALLEY
OFFICE

RESOLUTION MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE SET

Following up on the Court's minute entry dated May 29, 2012, the Court has considered Petitioner/Father's Motion to Reconsider and Response to Petition to Modify Child Parenting Time filed June 8, 2012. The Court also notes that Respondent/Mother has submitted a Petition to Enforce relating to a prior award of attorney's fees and request for medical expense reimbursement. Pursuant to Rule 35(D), Rules of Family Law Procedure,

IT IS ORDERED directing Respondent/Mother to file a written response to Father's Motion to Reconsider no later than **July 9, 2012**. No reply is to be filed.

Regarding the Petition to Enforce, Mother is directed to mail a copy of the petition to Father within 5 days of the date the Clerk files this minute entry. Father is directed to file a written response to the Petition to Enforce no later than **August 13, 2012**.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

FC 2008-094092

06/15/2012

IT IS ORDERED setting a Resolution Management Conference re: Mother's Petition to Enforce and Oral Argument re: Father's Motion to Reconsider on **August 23, 2012 at 1:30 p.m. (30 minutes allowed)**. At that time, the Court will determine the extent that further Evidentiary Hearings are warranted. The Resolution Management Conference/Oral Argument will be held before Honorable Christopher Coury at:

Maricopa County Superior Court
Southeast Judicial District
222 E. Javelina Avenue
Courtroom 403
Mesa, AZ 85210

Due to the judicial rotation scheduled to occur in June, 2012, this represents a change in judicial assignment and both parties are on notice thereof in accordance with Rule 6 of the Arizona Rules of Family Law Procedure and Rule 42 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure.

Both parties, together with their counsel, if represented, shall appear in person, and be prepared to discuss the final resolution and, if necessary, pre-trial management of this case. IF ONLY ONE PARTY APPEARS, THE COURT MAY ENTER A DEFAULT AGAINST THE ABSENT PARTY, AND ALLOW THE PARTY THAT APPEARS TO PROCEED BY DEFAULT. IF BOTH PARTIES FAIL TO APPEAR, THE ACTION MAY BE DISMISSED.

MANDATORY RESOLUTION STATEMENT

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party shall fully complete and file a Resolution Statement as required by Rule 76(A), Arizona Rules of Family Law Procedure, in proper form without argument, narrative statements or other documents, and provide a copy to the adverse party and to this Division at least 5 judicial days before the Conference. The Court is required to consider the reasonableness of each party's positions, including the failure to take a position, in any subsequent requests for attorney's fees made pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 25-324 and 12-349. The Resolution Statement may be obtained through the Self Service Center or online at: www.superiorcourt.maricopa.gov/SuperiorCourt/Self-ServiceCenter/Forms/FamilyCourt

PRE-CONFERENCE SETTLEMENT MEETING

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties and counsel, if any, shall personally meet and confer prior to the Resolution Management Conference to resolve as many issues as possible. In the event the parties and counsel, if any, have not met prior to the Resolution

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

FC 2008-094092

06/15/2012

Management Conference, they shall all be present and meet at the court one (1) hour prior to the scheduled Resolution Management Conference. If there is a current court order prohibiting contact of the parties or a significant history of domestic violence between the parties, the parties shall not be required to personally meet or contact each other in violation of the court order, but the parties and their counsel shall take all steps reasonable under the circumstances to resolve as many issues as possible prior to the RMC. The parties shall also submit to the court no later than the time of the RMC a Joint Alternative Dispute Resolution Statement required by Rule 66(E), Arizona Rules of Family Law Procedure.

DISCLOSURE

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that both parties shall complete the initial disclosure requirements of Rule 49 or 50, Arizona Rules of Family Law Procedure (ARFLP), as appropriate prior to the Resolution Management Conference. Pursuant to Rule 65(C), ARFLP, any party who fails to timely disclose information required by Rule 49 or 50 shall not be permitted to use such evidence in future motions, hearings or trials, except by leave of court for good cause shown, unless such failure is harmless.

PARENT EDUCATION PROGRAM

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in the event the parties have a natural or an adopted minor, unemancipated child in common, both parties shall have completed, or be scheduled to complete, an approved Parent Education Program in accordance with A.R.S. §25-351 et seq. prior to the Resolution Management Conference and file proof thereof prior to or at the time of the Conference.

Failure to obey this order in all respects may subject the offending party or counsel to all sanctions provided and allowed by court rule, statute or other law.

All parties representing themselves must keep the Court updated with address changes. A form may be downloaded at: <http://www.superiorcourt.maricopa.gov/SuperiorCourt/Self-ServiceCenter>.

NOTE: ALL COURT PROCEEDINGS ARE RECORDED BY AUDIO METHOD AND NOT BY A COURT REPORTER. ANY PARTY MAY REQUEST THE PRESENCE OF A COURT REPORTER BY CONTACTING THIS DIVISION THREE (3) COURT BUSINESS DAYS BEFORE THE SCHEDULED HEARING.

NOTICE: A child should not be brought to the Courthouse to be present during a court proceeding except in the circumstance that the child is to be interviewed by the Judge in

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

FC 2008-094092

06/15/2012

chambers or unless the child's presence is otherwise required for the court proceeding. Whenever a child is brought to the Courthouse, it is the responsibility of the party who brings the child to arrange for appropriate care and supervision of the child outside of the courtroom and judicial offices. The duties of Court personnel do not permit them to perform this function.